Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Omar et al. (1999) Sellafield plant, UK	14319 workers, employed in 1947- 1975. Follow-up through 1992 (mortality) or in 1971-	External doses: film badge dosimetry; Pu doses estimated by urinalysis and biokinetic model	Breast (ICD8: 174), mortality	Plutonium workers Other radiation workers	6 2	Pu workers / Other radiation workers rate ratio 7.66	Age, sex and calendar period	Also SMRs for cause-specific deaths were calculated using mortality rates in
	1986 for cancer incidence. 3854 deaths	blokillette illottet	Liver and gall-bladder (ICD8: 155,156)	Plutonium workers Other radiation workers		Pu workers / Other radiation workers rate ratio 0.85		the population of England and Wales
			Lung (ICD8: 162)	Plutonium workers Other radiation	133 113	Pu workers / Other radiation		
			()	workers		workers rate ratio 1.12		
Gilbert et al.	Cohort of 11000	External (gamma and	Liver	Pu body burden, kBq	Males		Stratified by age,	10 of 60 liver
(2000)	workers of Mayak PA	neutron) exposure	(ICD9 :	0–1.48	14	1.0	calendar year	cancer cases were
Ozyorsk,	initially employed in	doses individually	155)	1.48-7.40	2 7	0.9 (0.1-3.2)	and sex, adjusted	angiosarcomas
Russia, Mayak	1948-1958 at reactor,	measured by film		7.40+	7	9.2 (3.3–23)	for external dose	
workers	radiochemical,	badges, Pu exposure		Unknown:				
	plutonium production plants, 60 liver cancer	doses individually measured by		Radiochemical workers	9	1.1 (0.5–2.6)		
	deaths	urinalysis		Plutonium workers	9	2.0 (0.8-4.8)		
					Females			
				0-1.48	2	1.0		
				1.48-7.40	2	7.1 (0.9–59)		
				7.40+ Unknown:	9	66 (16–453)		
				Radiochemical	1	0.6 (0.03-6.1)		
				workers	1	0.0 (0.05-0.1)		
				Plutonium workers	5	13 (2.4–94)		
						× /		
			7					

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Koshurnikova et al. (2000) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 11000 workers of Mayak PA initially employed in 1948-1958 at reactor, radiochemical, plutonium production plants, 27 bone cancer cases	External (gamma and neutron) exposure doses individually measured by film badges, Pu exposure doses individually measured by urinalysis	Bone (ICD9 : 170,171)	Pu body burden, kBq 0–1.48 1.48–7.40 7.40+ Unknown: Radiochemical workers Plutonium workers	6 1 3 6 7	1.0 0.9 (0.05–5.5) 7.9 (1.6–32) 1.4 (0.4–4.7) 4.1 (1.2–14)	Stratified by age, calendar year and sex, adjusted for external dose	
Kreisheimer et al. (2000) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 3841 male workers of Mayak PA hired in 1948-1958 at reactor, radiochemical or Pu production plants. Workers from the latter two plants included only if they had urinalysis data on Pu body burden and Pu lung dose, follow- up through 1995. 191 lung cancer deaths	External (gamma and neutron) exposure dose individually measured by film badges, Pu exposure doses individually measured by urinalysis	Lung	Pu lung dose	191 deaths; 74 among reactor workers with 0 Pu dose	12.1 ERR/Gy (SE 2.9)		
McGeoghegan et al. (2003) Sellafield plant, UK	6376 female workers of Sellafield, study period, 1946–1998	External doses: film badge dosimetry; Pu doses estimated by urinalysis and biokinetic model	All cancers, mortality Breast cancer mortality	Plutonium workers Other radiation workers Plutonium workers Other radiation workers	15 19 7 5	Rate ratio Pu workers/Other radiation workers = 3.30 Rate ratio Pu workers/Other radiation workers = 3.77	Rate ratios adjusted for age, sex, calendar year, industrial status and worker status	Also SMRs for cause-specific deaths were calculated using mortality rates in the female population of England and Wale
			Breast cancer incidence	Plutonium workers Other radiation workers	10 12	Rate ratio Pu workers/Other radiation workers = 3.34		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Kreisheimer et al. (2003) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 4212 male workers hired at Mayak in 1948-1958 at reactor, radiochemical or plutonium production plant. Workers of the latter two plants were included in analysis if they had Pu dose estimates. 1921 deaths. Follow-up through 1999.	External (gamma and neutron) exposure doses measured by film badges, Pu body burden and lung dose calculated using urinalysis data and Pu biokinetic model.	Lung	Pu dose, ERR/Gy	219 cases, 92 among reactor workers with 0 Pu lung dose	For α -particles the estimated excess relative risk for lung cancer was 4.50/Gy (95%CI: 3.15, 6.10)	Smoking, age attained, external dose	
Shilnikova et al. (2003) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 21500 workers hired in 1948- 1972 at auxiliary, reactor, radiochemical or Pu production plant. Follow-up through 1997. 668 deaths from lung, liver and bone cancer, 1062 deaths from other solid cancer, 77 leukemia deaths.	External (gamma and neutron) exposure dose individually measured by film badges, Pu exposure levels individually measured by urinalysis adn characterized in terms of body burden	Solid cancer other than lung, liver, bone Lung, liver and skeletal cancers Leukaemia	Significant effect of Pu body burden Significant effect of Pu body burden	1062 deaths 668 deaths 77 deaths	P<0.001 P<0.001 The point estimate of the plutonium body burden dose response for monitored workers was negative but not statistically significant (P > 0.5)	Background modelled parametrically, effect estimated separately for external dose and internal dose as sum of contribution of Pu body burden and Pu surrogate index	Surrogate index of exposure to Pu depending on occupational history used to adjust for potential exposure to Pu among workers unmonitored for Pu

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Gilbert et al. (2004) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 21790 workers of Mayak PA initially employed in 1948 – 1972 at reactor, radiochemical, plutonium production or auxiliary plants with mortality follow- up through 2000, vital status 90%, 8493 deaths, 97% known cause of death	Doses-2000 External exposure doses meausured individually for 80% of workers, no or little potential for External (gamma and neutron) exposure for the rest. Pu exposure doses individually measured for 40% of radiochemical and Pu plant workers Radiation doses lagged for 5 years	Lung	Pu alpha particles dose to lung, Gy 0 >0-0.2 0.2-1.0 1.0-3.0 3.0-5.0 5.0+ 0 >0-0.2 0.2-1.0 1.0-5.0 5.0+	Males 176 91 33 26 10 7 Females 7 3 8 3 10	1 1.4 (1.0–1.8) 2.4 (1.5–3.6) 10.1 (6.3–15) 19 (9.5–35) 33 (14–67) 1 0.91 (<0.91, 3.1) 16 (6.1, 37) 15 (3.0, 38 250 (110, 660)	Age, gender, smoking, age at exposure	For internal dose, the excess relative risk (95% CI) per Gy at age 60 was 19 (9.5, 39) for females and 4.7 (3.3, 6.7) for males, while the excess absolute risk for females was less than half that for males.
Wing et al. (2004) Hanford, USA, Hanford plant workers	26389 workers hired between 1944 and 1978 with at least 180 days of employment. Follow-up through 1994. 8153 deaths, 98.9% of them with cause of death known, 0.7% of males and 4.4% lost to follow-up	Potential to Pu exposure defined as job-exposure matrix	Lung (ICD9: 162) Pu related cancers	Years in routine Pu job: 0 < 5 5 - 10 >10 0 < 5 5 - 10 >10	607 29 10 20 783 34 13 22	Percent difference in mortality rates ±SE - -26.7±19.9 -18.5±33.0 20.4±26.2 - - -37.7±18.3 -14.0±29.9 6.9±24.6	Age, gender, race, birth cohort, SES, eployment status, absence or presence of Pu monitoring data	Pu-related cancers included cancer of the lung (ICD9: 162), liver (ICD9: 155–156), bone and connective tissue (ICD9: 170, 171), and lymphatic tissue cancers (ICD9: 200–202).

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Jacob et al. (2005) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 5058 male workers of Mayak PA hired in 1948-1972 hired in 1948-1972 at auxiliary, reactor, radiochemical or Pu production plant with known smoking status and, unless reactor worker, with Pu monitoring data. Follow-up through 1998. 2176 deaths.	External (gamma and neutron) exposure dose individually measured by film badges, Pu exposure doses individually measured by urinalysis	Lung	Pu dose, ERR/Sv: Ext. dose, ERR/Sv Smoking, ERR	244 deaths	0.11 (0.08–0.17) 0.03 (-0.04–0.13) 9.2 (4.3–21.4)	TSCE model with sub- multiplicative interaction between radiation and smoking	
Jacob et al. (2007), Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 6293 male workers of Mayak PA hired in 1948-1972 hired in 1948-1972 at auxiliary, reactor, radiochemical or Pu production plant with known smoking status and, unless reactor worker, with Pu monitoring data. Follow-up through 2002. 3039 deaths.	External (gamma and neutron) exposure dose individually measured by film badges, Pu exposure doses individually measured by urinalysis adn calculated in terms of modified ICRP66 model and ICRP 67 model.	Lung	Pu dose, ERR/Sv Ext. dose, ERR/Sv Smoking, ERR	301 deaths	0.20 (0.13–0.40) 0.25 (0.08–0.85) 13 (6–27)	TSCE model with sub- multiplicative interaction between radiation and smoking	No indication of bystander effect, either detriment or protective

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Sokolnikov et al. (2008) Ozyorsk, Russia, Mayak workers	Cohort of 17740 workers hired in 1948- 1972 hired in 1948- 1972 at auxiliary, reactor, radiochemical or Pu production plant and followed up for at least 5 years. Follow- up through 2003. 681 deaths from lung, 75 – from liver and 30 – from bone cancer	External (gamma and neutron) exposure dose individually measured by film badges, Pu exposure doses individually measured by urinalysis	Lung Liver	Pu organ dose, Gy 0 >0-0.1 0.1-0.2 0.2-0.3 0.3-0.5 0.5-1.0 1.0-2.0 2.0-3.0 3.0-5.0 5.0-10.0 10.0+ 0 >0-0.2 0.2-1.0 >1.0-3.0 3.0-5.0 5.0-10.0 10.0+	139 111 16 14 14 15 16 8 7 6 8 7 6 8 14 9 2 3 3 7 2	10.98 (<1-1.3)1.4 (<1-2.4)3.3 (1.7-5.8)4.5 (2.4-7.7)6.4 (3.5-11)15 (8.1-25)18 (8.3-35)17 (7.1-35)27 (10-58)186 (69-466)11.03 (<1-1.8)1.5 (<1-3.2)4.0 (1.2-13)16 (3.3-58)43 (12-134)36 (4.5-196)	Background modelled parametrically. Adjusted for external dose, gender, age at exposure, smoking. Surrogate index of exposure to plutonium used to estimate risk for the part of cohort experience when unmonitored for plutonium	With regard to lung cancer, the estimated ERR per Gy (95% CI) for Pu dose at attained age 60 was 7.1 (4.9–10.1) for males and 15 (7.6– 29) for females
			Bone	0 >0-1.0 >1-5.0 >5-10.0 10+	5 3 0 0 3	1 0.9 (<1-4.3) 0 (0.0-8.7) 0 (0.0-61) 82 (17-338)		

ERR, excess relative risk; Ext., external; Mayak PA, Mayak Production Association