# **Layer 1: National Safety Culture**

### Are there Cultural Factors contributing?

- •Rigid, non permeable hierarchies?
- •"Mavericks " excluded from review process?
- •No bottom-up- questioning attitude?
- •Too strong obedience to authorities?
- •Fear of losing face due to "mistakes"?
- Selection of review committees biased?
- •Individual accountability obscured/absent?

### **Economic-governmental entanglement?**

- "Amakudari" (re-employment of ex-govt officials in industry)
- TV and journalism uncritically in line with governmental decisions and attitudes

### Layer 2:

### **Basic Problems in Nuclear Field:**

- No independence of NISA from METI.
- Emphasis on cost-cutting over safety in TEPCO
- Nuclear safety a non issue in japanese TV
- Politician-bureaucrat-industry collusion
- Lopsided publicity ("NPPs absolutely safe") prevented open discussion of NPP safety
- •NSC is purely administrativ and has no independant effective supervision of NISA
- •Regulation on tsunamis since 2006, but no real requirements concerning big tsunamis (Joghan) by NSC
- Perpetual Resistance of NISA and TEPCO against serious warnings concerning high risk of big tsunamis in the Tohoku region by seismic specialists

# **Layer 2: Basic Problems in Seismological Field:**

- •Dogmatic National seismic risk map did not predict megaquakes M >8.3 in Tohoku region → undue risk focus on South East→ underestimation of risk in Tohoku region → underestimation of Megatsunami risk in this region from nearby JapanTrench subduction zone
- •Historic tsunami data verified since 1990 Joghan-Megatsunami and even older Megatsunamis with a frequency of 1E-3 1/y. This higher risk was not officially acknowledged for years
- •No historic tsunami hazard map exists for Japan

## Layer 3: Main Safety Deficiencies of Fukushima:

- •No high efficiency filtered venting system
- •Wrongly directed venting into secondary containment
- No passive autocatalytic hydrogen recombiners in secondary containment
- Insufficient tsunami and flooding resistant design (e.g. alignment of emergency power supply)
- •Wrongly located switchgear building (beneath flooding level)
- •No redundant earthquake and flood resistant, bunkered core & containment heat removal system
- •No diversified cooling water intakes from different sources
- •Deficits in severe accident management after accident initiation

→ No backfitting since years!

**Disaster of 11-03-11:** 

Result: Not a residual risk issue Simply gross negligence!